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The Brewer-Dobson Circulation

• Thomas Birner comes up as the 2nd result when you search for the BDC in google: [http://birner.atmos.colostate.edu/bdc.html](http://birner.atmos.colostate.edu/bdc.html)

• He provides a summary of the political–historical context for the BDC’s discovery

• Alan Brewer was a postdoc of Gordon Dobson, and was assigned to investigate contrails (1940s)
  – Wartime motivation (WWII)
The Brewer-Dobson Circulation

- Excellent historical overview by Jim Holton:
Early Prevailing Principles and Assumptions

1. Large static stability in the stratosphere
2. Motionless stratosphere
   ➢ Radiative equilibrium: incoming SW = outgoing LW
3. Ozone formation owes to UV radiation
Upon finding that ozone increases poleward in the lower stratosphere...

- "...the present observations make it almost certain that UV radiation is not the chief cause of ozone formation"
- "It is more in accordance with the observations to suppose that ozone is formed by some other cause and that the role of the sun is mainly to decompose that ozone"
Dobson et al. 1929

• “The only way we can reconcile these observations, with the hypothesis that the ozone is formed by the action of sunlight, would be to suppose a slow poleward drift in the highest atmosphere with a slow descent of air near the Pole.”
• “Such a current would carry ozone formed in the low latitudes to the Pole and concentrate it there.”
• They disregard this, since the measurements to not provide the necessary insights as to ozone’s vertical distribution
• …and because this would go against many strongly held notions of stratospheric dynamics
Brewer 1949

- Evidence for a World Circulation Provided by the Measurements of Helium and Water Vapour...
- If we take the motionless assumption, a given conservation equation (e.g., for water vapor) reduces to diffusion
- The predicament:
  - With diffusion working to moisten the lower stratosphere, “...how is the great dryness of the stratospheric air maintained?”
Brewer 1949

• “The observed distributions can be explained by the existence of a circulation in which air enters the stratosphere at the equator, where it is dried by condensation, travels in the stratosphere to polar regions, and sinks into the troposphere.”

• “The sinking will warm the air unless it is cooled by radiation...at the equator the ascending air must be subject to heating by radiation.”

• (All of this is speculative)
Brewer 1949

Isotherms over the Globe

Fig. 5. A supply of dry air is maintained by a slow mean circulation from the equatorial tropopause.
Brewer 1949

• Brewer calls for a radical paradigm shift in stratospheric dynamics:
  – Air is *not* motionless
  – Radiative cooling/warming must offset adiabatic warming/cooling, implying radiative *disequilibrium*

• Dynamical issue (angular momentum): “It should be noted that there is difficulty accounting for the smallness of westerly winds in the stratosphere.”
Dobson 1956

- Dobson finally comes around (27 years later) to his original supposition, guided by Brewer’s innovations and new observations
  - Ozone maximizes in the tropical upper stratosphere, and is brought downward in the extratropics by subsidence
Dobson 1956

Early observations

New observations
Murgatroyd and Singleton 1959, 1961

• “Significant deviations from radiative equilibrium exist at the poles, with heating at the summer pole and cooling at the winter pole”

• The circulation required to balance this radiative imbalance can be deduced:

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{\theta}}{\partial t} + \frac{\bar{V}_d}{a} \frac{\partial \bar{\theta}}{\partial \phi} + \bar{w}_d \frac{\partial \bar{\theta}}{\partial z} = \bar{Q} \\
\frac{1}{a \cos \phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (\bar{V}_d \cos \phi) + \rho_0^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho_0 \bar{w}_d) = 0,
\]
Murgatroyd and Singleton 1961

Figure 1. Radiative heating and cooling °K day⁻¹. Regions of heating are shaded.
Murgatroyd and Singleton 1961

\[ v \quad O(m/s) \]

\[ w \quad O(cm/s) \]
Murgatroyd and Singleton 1961

• The angular momentum issue…
  – "A poleward-moving particle would be expected to acquire a large westerly component…"
  – "This non-conservation of angular momentum implies the existence of eddy processes which are likely to be of importance to the heat and momentum balance."
Murgatroyd and Singleton 1961

\[ \bar{m} = a \cos \phi (\bar{u} + a\Omega \cos \phi) \]

How does air crossing this gradient conserve momentum?

Max

From Haynes et al. 1991
Winter Hem.  

Mixing is important  

Radiative warming  

Tropics  

Summer Hem.  

Radiative cooling  

WINTER "SURF ZONE" poleward/downward diabatic flow + strong stirring  

TROPICS diabatic upwelling + weak stirring  

SUMMER EXTRATROPICS weak diabatic circulation + weak stirring  

From Plumb 2007
Eddy-mean Flow Interaction

• Key jargon:
  – “Extratropical pump,” “Rossby wave pump,” “gyroscopic pump,” etc. etc.
  – “Downward control” (Haynes et al. 1991)

• These all refer to:
  – Rossby and gravity waves transfer momentum between their source regions and where they break (dissipate), acting as a (eddy-driven) force to the mean flow
“Wave-driven Pump”

From Holton’s textbook
“Wave-driven Pump”

Easterly phase speed represents the momentum taken from the jet, which is carried with the wave
“Wave-driven Pump”

- Poleward motion facilitated by the deposit of negative westerly momentum.
- Up- and down-branches cause adiabatic cooling/warming, breaking radiative equilibrium.

Surf zone: Easterly momentum is deposited, causing poleward flow (Coriolis torque).

Wave origin – Rossby waves take up easterly momentum.
Angular Momentum is Conserved!

$$\bar{m} = a \cos \phi (\bar{u} + a \Omega \cos \phi)$$

- Negative angular momentum is taken from the jet during wave genesis, rides the waves, and is deposited in the surf zone causing parcels to drift poleward.

From Haynes et al. 1991
The Transformed Eulerian Mean (TEM) System

- Zonally averaged system
- $G$ represents the total zonal force resulting from eddy activity (wave breaking)

\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t} - f_0 \bar{v}^* &= \rho_0^{-1} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} + \bar{X} \equiv \bar{G} \\
\frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial t} - f_0 \bar{v}^* &= \bar{G} \\
N^2 H R^{-1} \bar{w}^* &= -\alpha_r \left[ \bar{T} - \bar{T}_r(y, z, t) \right] \\
f_0 \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial z} + RH^{-1} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial y} &= 0
\end{align*}
Climate Change: Age of Air

• Climatology:
  – Stratospheric air is youngest in the lower tropics, and oldest near the poles

• Climate change:
  – Air gets younger everywhere, roughly proportional to its climatology age
Why do we care about all this??

Chemistry!

In figures, stratospheric and tropospheric chemicals have almost the same distribution!

→ Changes to the BDC will affect chemistry

… which affects heating, which affects *dynamics* …

(ppbv; from satellite)
Findings: Ozone and the BDC

- Less $O_3$ at tropics, mid-lats
- BDC speeds, slowing more $O_3$ depletion (a little):
  - Faster BDC
  - Younger air
  - Loss of catalysts
  - +Lifetime of $O_3$

- BDC strengthens, cleaning the upper troposphere (how much?):
  - Stronger BDC
  - More strat-trop exchange
  - More $O_3$ intrusions
  - Eliminates hydrocarbons

But ozone recovery counteracts BDC changes:

- More $O_3$
- Polar strat. warming
- Lesser meridional $T$ gradient
- Slowed BDC

Hegglin & Shepherd, 2009: more tropospheric ozone

Butchart et al., 2006 Reviews of Geophys.
General Trends as the Climate Changes (Refresher)

Stratosphere:
- Warmer at the equator, colder at the poles and in the upper atmosphere
- SSTs warmer, esp. the N pole
- Jets stronger, esp. in the SH, moving upward

Figure 12.19: Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) multi-model ensemble average of zonal and annual mean wind change (2081–2100 minus 1986–2005)
- From left to right: Representative Concentration Pathway 2.6 (RCP2.6), 4.5 and 8.5.
- Black contours represent the multi-model average for the 1986–2005 base period.
- Hatching indicates regions where the multi-model mean change is less than one standard deviation of internal variability.
- Stippling indicates regions where the multi-model mean change is greater than two standard deviations of internal variability and where at least 90% of models agree on the sign of change (see Box 12.1).
Mechanism of BDC Change

Wave types:
- Gravity (parameterized)
- Planetary
- Synoptic

- More of a meridional T gradient
- More active wave breaking
- More poleward deflection of momentum (pumping)
- Strengthened BDC

(Ozone recovery: less of a meridional T gradient --reverse)

Wave breaking (Easterly momentum flux)

Stronger subtropical jets

Warmer SSTs

Convective waves

Net poleward momentum flux
Overview of Climate Change Effects on Stratospheric Circulation

Main findings across the board (all from models):

- **Strengthening** of tropical upwelling, which would be accompanied by more down-welling in the extra-tropical (ET) regions
- Strongest in **lower stratosphere** of the **winter NH**
- Strength of change **depends on easterly momentum flux** from wave breaking

Points that differ between papers:

- Biggest Point: **What causes the change in momentum flux? (which wave types important, what’s changing them)**
- Where are the “layers” of the BDC?
- Model changes: ensemble used, resolution in upper strat., ocean-atmosphere coupling
- Focus of paper ---seasonality, meridional upwelling width, type of waves (planetary in the troposphere, gravity in the stratosphere, excitation versus transmission)
**Hardiman et al., 2013:**

The morphology of the Brewer–Dobson circulation and its response to climate change in CMIP5 simulations
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**Questions:**
- How does the width, strength of the tropical upwelling region change spatially, seasonally?
  - How do Rossby waves affect this?
  - How does ET down-welling respond?

**Methods:**
- Time periods:
  - CMIP5 2006-2100 - RCP 8.5 (extreme)
- Models: “High-top” only, one member from ensemble
- Diagnostic: Monthly mean residual vertical velocity
Figure 1. Annual mean residual vertical velocity, $\bar{w}$ (mm s$^{-1}$), for ERA-Interim (1989–2009), and the CMIP5 models. Multi-model mean (MMM) values are shown, using historical simulations (1960–2000). The zero line is shown as a bold black contour. The stream function (kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) is shown in logarithmically spaced grey contours. Dashed contours represent negative values.

Mean historical BDC
Figure 2. Upper panels: Turn-around latitudes calculated from annual mean $\bar{w}$ (mm s$^{-1}$) climatologies for ERA-Interim (1989–2009) and models (1960–2000). Dashed black lines show the turn-around latitudes for ERA-Interim, with light grey shading showing the interannual standard deviation, scaled to represent a 95% confidence interval. Solid black lines show turn-around latitudes for the multi-model mean $\bar{w}$, with dark grey shading showing inter-model standard error, scaled to represent a 95% confidence interval. Individual model turn-around latitudes are shown by thin coloured lines, as specified in the key. Tropical upwelling is calculated for each year, as the mass upwelling between turn-around latitudes, and then averaged (1960–2000 for the models, and 1989–2009 for ERA-Interim). Lower panels: Trend in the turn-around latitudes and upwelling mass flux for the models, using a linear fit to years 2006–2009 from the RCP 8.5 scenario simulations, with dark grey shading showing inter-model standard error as above. Thin horizontal lines are shown at 70 and 10 hPa to aid comparison to previous studies. Note that, as discussed in detail by Hardiman et al. (2007), the turn-around latitudes of the mean $\bar{w}$, and their trends, are not equal to the mean of the individual model turn-around latitudes and their trends.
Upwelling Region Narrowing (Lower Strat.): Trends in Rossby Wave Patterns

- Equator-ward movement of wave breaking location (green lines toward dashed)
  - Caused by jet stream changes
  - Allows waves (stationary Rossby waves) to propagate further into tropics (upwelling strengthened here)

Figure 3. Contours show trend in $\bar{w}$ (mm s$^{-1}$ decade$^{-1}$) using a linear fit to years 2006–2099 from the RCP 8.5 scenario simulations. Red lines show the turn-around latitudes, with solid lines showing the mean position for the period 2006–2025, and dashed lines showing the mean position for the period 2080–2099. Green lines show the stationary wave critical lines, $\bar{u} = 0$, with solid lines showing positions where multi-annual mean $\bar{u}(2006–2025)$ is zero, and dashed lines showing positions where multi-annual mean $\bar{u}(2080–2099)$ is zero. Stippling shows regions where the trend is NOT significant at the 95% level.
Upwelling Region Widening (Upper Strat.): Trends in Rossby Wave Patterns

- **Weakened equatorward refraction of planetary waves**
  - Caused by jet stream changes (figure)
  - Waves cannot propagate as deeply into the tropics (upwelling not as strong here)

(This study doesn’t show whether gravity waves are important --model limitations)
More upwelling at the tropics means more downwelling at the extratropics ---which is observed (more of it in the winter).

- Lower stratosphere: increased downwelling is observed in the mid-latitudes (this means more strat.-to-trop. exchange of chemicals!)

- Upper stratosphere: increased downwelling is observed at the poles
Changes in various branches of the Brewer-Dobson circulation from an ensemble of chemistry climate models

P. Lin,1 and Q. Fu1,2
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We analyzed the changes of simulated Brewer-Dobson circulation (BDC) for 1960–2000 from 12 chemistry climate models participating in the Chemistry-Climate Model Validation activity phase 2 (CCMVal-2). We decomposed the BDC into transition, shallow, and deep branches with vertical cutoffs of 100–70, 70–30, and above 30 hPa, respectively. Models consistently simulate the acceleration in all three BDC branches over 150 years, but the acceleration rate of the deep branch is much smaller. The acceleration rate of the transition and shallow branches in general shows weak seasonal or hemispheric dependence and increases with time, consistent with the continuous and homogeneous increase of greenhouse gas concentrations. The trend magnitudes of shallow and transition branches differ from model to model, which are found to be associated with the simulated changes in sub-tropical jets and tropical upper tropospheric temperature. The acceleration of the deep branch is also a response to the increase of greenhouse gas concentrations but is modulated by the changes in ozone concentrations. The effect of ozone changes is particularly prominent in the southern deep branch during annual summer, almost all models simulated strong acceleration during the ozone depletion era, weak acceleration during the ozone recovery era.

Methods:

- Time periods:
  - 1961-2009
  - CCMVal-2 2011-2050, 2059-2098
- Models: prescribed SSTs, sea ice, A1B (~RCP6)
- Diagnostic: “strength” of branch = (residual mass flux across layer)

Question: What are the mean spatial and temporal structural changes in the BDC with time?
Lin & Fu et al., 2013: Results

- Strongest at lower levels:
  - >30 hPa BDC +1.8% strengthening/decade 1960-2099
  - <30 hPa BDC + 3.0% strengthening/decade
- Winter, NH strongest change in BDC, absolute BDC strength (there’s more landmass ---more eddies...)

"Thin" lines are each model.
Palmeiro et al., 2014

**Question:** What effect do GWs have? (Maybe they’re what’s important?)

**Answer:**
- Past: Mainly non-gravity waves
- Future deep BDC: Non-gravity waves and frontal GWs, some convective GWs (only at the tropics)
- Future shallow BDC: Some orographic GWs

**Methods:**
- Time periods:
  - Historical (1960-2005)
  - RCP 2.6, 4.5, 8.5 Projections (2005-2100)
- Models: WACCM4 (ocean, land, sea ice)
- Diagnostic: TEM residual “upwelling” between the turnaround latitudes (individ. wave types)
How certain are we?

“In the upper atmosphere, a robust feature of projected stratosphere circulation change is that the [BDC] will likely strengthen in the 21st century…”

“The projected increase in troposphere-to-stratosphere mass exchange rate and stratospheric mixing associated with the strengthening of the [BDC] will likely result in a decrease in the mean age of air in the lower stratosphere.”

----IPCC AR5 Ch. 12
What is the Brewer Dobson Circulation?

- Mean meridional overturning circulation in the stratosphere and mesosphere
- Wave-breaking forces northward drift of air parcels in the extratropics, and the up/down branches by mass continuity (*wave pump, downward control*)
- Upward/downward branches break radiative equilibrium → net radiative heat sources/sinks balance adiabatic cooling/warming

Changes in Stratospheric Circulation:

- We’re still deciding what and where the branches of the BDC are
- BDC will strengthen, especially in the upper stratosphere, winter, Northern Hemisphere

- **Caused by:** Changes in wave-breaking by location, type, strength
  - Rossby waves -- planetary and synoptic important
  - Gravity waves *halfway* important

- **This will likely cause:**
  - Faster ozone hole recovery
  - More stratosphere-to-troposphere exchange:
    - Changes in $O_3$ in the troposphere (*hydrocarbon oxidation*)
    - More $O_3$ depletion at the mid-latitudes?
Changing BDC Papers: Methods

Lin & Fu:
• Time periods:
  • Historical (1961-2009) simulations
  • Historical ERA-Interim re-analyses (1961-2009)
  • Projections 2011-2050, 2059-2098 ($O_3$ recovery and stable $O_3$, respectively) - CCMVal-2 (known over-est. polar vortex)
• Models: prescribed SSTs, sea ice, A1B (~RCP6)
• Diagnostic: “strength” of branch = (TEM residual mass flux across layer)

Palmeiro:
• “De-seasonalized” monthly means
• Time periods:
  • Three historical (1960-2005) simulations
  • RCP 2.6, 4.5, 8.5 Projections (2005-2100)
• Models: WACCM4 (atmosphere, ocean, land, sea ice models, 2.5 x 1.9 deg, 66 vert levels, up to 140 km)
• Diagnostic: TEM residual “upwelling” between the turnaround latitudes (individ. wave types)

Hardiman
• Time periods:
  • Historical (1960-2000) CMIP5 simulations
  • Historical ERA-Interim re-analyses (1989-2009)
  • Projections (2006-2100) RCP 8.5 (extreme) simulation
• Models: “High-top” only, one member/model
• Diagnostic: Monthly mean residual vertical velocity
Hardiman:

Transformed Eulerian Mean Residual-Mean Circulation:

\[ \tilde{v}^* \equiv \tilde{v} - \frac{1}{\rho_0} \left( \rho_0 \frac{\nu' \theta'}{\bar{\theta}_z} \right) \]

\[ \tilde{w}^* \equiv \tilde{w} + \frac{1}{a \cos \phi} \left( \cos \phi \nu' \theta' \right) \]

Palmeiro:

Combining diabatic circ. (Murgatroyd & Singleton), mass continuity, eddy heat flux:

Parameterized wave drag (gravity waves)

Eliassen-Palm Flux Divergence

Zonal mean angular momentum

Evaluate at turn-around latitudes
TUNGSTEN-185 FROM NUCLEAR BOMB TESTS AS A TRACER FOR STRATOSPHERIC METEOROLOGY

By Dr. HERBERT W. FEELY and Prof. JEROME SPAR
\*Isotopes, Inc., Westwood, New Jersey

A Radio-Active Fall-Out Study at Melbourne, Australia

By A. J. DYER and MISS S-A. YEO
Division of Meteorological Physics, Commonwealth Scientific & Industrial Research Organisation, Melbourne, Australia.

(Manuscript received August 31, 1959)
Wave excitation versus transmission (big picture: what’s changing about the easterly momentum flux?)

Excitation (source of waves --are there more waves formed? More storms???)

Boundary (tropopause / density change / shear)

Transmission (ability to propagate --are more of the waves hitting the critical layer?)

An elastic rope securely tied to a pole can be used to study the behavior of waves at a fixed end.

General findings of papers (Butchart et al., 2006):
Calvo & Garcia, 2009:
- Past: transmission of waves
- Future: excitation of waves caused by convective activity changes

Olsen et al., 2007:
- Future: propagation of waves by warmer SSTs

Deckert & Dameris, 2008; Garny et al., 2011:
- Future: excitation and propagation poleward of waves caused by convection enhanced by SSTs

Shepherd & McLandress, 2011:
- Future: effects of changes in wave patterns determined by the location of the subtropical lower stratospheric boundaries (“critical layers”)
Shepherd & McLandress, 2011:

**Question:** Which wave types are important?

**Answer:** Planetary and synoptic scale Rossby waves important -- strengthening, upward movement of ST jet pushes critical layer up and allows more waves to penetrate ST lower strat.

**Methods:**
- Time periods:
  - Historical 1960-1979
  - Projections 2080-2099
- Models: CCMVal-1
- Diagnostic: Eliassen-Palm Flux Divergence (wave contributions to eddy momentum flux)
Hadley et al., 2014

The morphology of the Brewer–Dobson circulation and its response to climate change in CMIP5 simulations

- Tropical uplift region:
- Increase in strength
- Narrowing below 20 mbar
  - equatorward shift in the stationary wave critical line allows waves to propagate further into the Tropics
- Widening above 20 mbar
  - increase in the extratropical zonal mean westerly jet leads to a reduced equatorward refraction of planetary waves
- Different structure in winter downwelling